EXHIBIT B

	1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA	
3	CASE NO.: 2:18cv530	
4	CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.,	
5	individually and on behalf of NORFOLK & PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE	
6	RAILROAD COMPANY,	
7	Plaintiff,	
8	vs.	
9	NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11	/	
12		
13	TRANSCRIPT DESIGNATED UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDER	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
15	ROBERT GIRARDOT	
16	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:36 a.m 6:37 p.m.	
17	Remote Proceedings	
18		
19		
20		
22		
23		
24	Stenographically Reported By:	
25	Gina Rodriguez, RPR, CRR, CCP Job No. CS4385284	
-		

Q. Okay. As a result of that distinction,
quote: "CSX would have to pay NPBL twice for moving
a container from or to NIT. Once for moving a
railcar to NIT and once for moving the railcar back
from NIT."

Right?

- A. In some cases, yes.
- Q. So, in other words, you're trying to make
 the -- you're trying to say that if the charge
 were -- were based on a per-container charge, if the
 container were, let's say, dropped at NIT, you would
 only be charged for the trip there and not the return
 trip because there would be no container, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. The next sentence, you write -- and we're still in paragraph -- "It is my understanding, based on conversations with NPBL representatives in connection with CXT's development of its service proposals, that this charge would apply regardless of whether a railcar were loaded or empty."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. I asked you earlier in this deposition if you had ever spoken to a -- an -- a lead of NPBL, and you mentioned one conversation you had with them in

169 1 2018 with regards to CSXT's rate proposal. 2 Do you recall that? 3 Α. Yes. Is the sentence I just read to you based on 4 just that conversation, or are there others? It's -- you know, it's based on -- you 6 7 know, that's the one specific one that I know, you know, absolutely, you know, in part of developing the 8 2018 proposal, we needed to get a clear understanding 9 10 of what NPBL meant and on the conference call, the various notes, we had a clear understanding that 11 12 that's what they meant. 13 Is that your still -- is that still your understanding today? 14 15 Α. Yes, it is. 16 Ο. Are you aware that Cannon Moss from the NPBL was deposed in this matter? 17 You know, I -- I would -- you know, I would 18 imagine that. I'm not -- I don't factually know 19 20 that, but, you know, I'm . . . 21 Would you be surprised to learn that he testified that the \$210 switching fee only applies if 22 23 a railcar is -- is loaded? 24 Α. Yeah, I would --MR. HATCH: Object to form. 25